

References

- Cummins, R., 1975, Functional analysis, *J. Phil.* **72**:741–764.
- Davidson, D., 1980, *Essays on Actions and Events*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Davies, P. S., 2001, *Norms of Nature*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Franssen, M., 2006, The normativity of artifacts, *Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci.* **37**:42–57.
- Franssen, M., 2008, The inherent normativity of functions in biology and technology, in: *Functions and More: Comparative Philosophy of Technical Artifacts and Biological Organisms, Vienna Series in Theoretical Biology*, U. Krohs and P.A. Kroes, eds., MIT Press, Cambridge, MA., forthcoming.
- Houkes, W., 2006, Knowledge of artifact functions, *Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci.* **37**:102–113.
- Houkes, W., Vermaas, P. E., Dorst, C., and de Vries, M. J., 2002, Design and use as plans: an action-theoretic account, *Des. Stud.* **23**:303–320.
- Kroes, P., and Meijers, A., 2006, The dual nature of technical artifacts, *Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci.* **37**:1–4 (introduction to a special issue).
- Millikan, R. G., 1984, *Language, Thought, and Other Biological Categories*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Parfit, D., 1984, *Reasons and Persons*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Preston, B., 1998, Why is a wing like a spoon? a pluralist theory of function., *J. Phil.* **95**:215–254.
- Thomasson, A. L., 2003, Realism and human kinds, *Phil. Phen. Res.* **67**:580–609.
- Vermaas, P. E., and Houkes, W. N., 2003, Ascribing functions to technical artifacts: a challenge to etiological accounts of functions. *Brit. J. Phil. Sci.* **54**:261–289.
- Wouters, A., 2005, The function debate in philosophy, *Act. Biotheor.* **53**:123–151.

